Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Balvir Singh, S/o Sh. Aatma Singh, Village Mandaulli, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Development),
District Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Department,
District Mohali.

## Appeal Case No. 4323 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Balvir Singh (Appellant) 85580-68654 Azadwinder Singh, PIO-cum-Superintendent (Respondent) 98771-61370

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding unemployment allowance etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **9.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **6.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. As per a reply received from the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 4260 Dated 15.12.2021, this RTI application was transferred under Section 6(3) to various BDPO's etc. who have furnished replies to the appellant directly. Copies of said replies have been appended to the reply submitted to the Commission.
- 3. The Commission has examined said reply and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Birchh Bhan, S/o Sh. Saroop Chand, # 33, Kahangarh Road, Pataran, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

#### Appeal Case No. 4341 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Madhu Bala, AFSO (for the Respondent) 98880-74017

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding illegal mining by brick kiln owners etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **6.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the respondent PIO, this RTI application was wrongly submitted to the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, where as it should have been rightly submitted to the PIO Mining Department. The RTI application was transferred to the office of XEN-cum-District Mining Officer, Patiala. The appellant is hereby advised to follow-up with District Mining Officer, Patiala or alternatively file an RTI application to the concerned PIO.
- 3. In view of the above this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Jasbir Singh, S/o Gurbaksh Singh, Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Ludhiana.

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

### First Appellant Authority,

o/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

## Appeal Case No. 1849 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Narinder Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 98038-14780

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 12.11.2020, 15.1.2021, 14.7.2021, 10.12.2021, and 10.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 11.11.19 vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding a complaint submitted on 11.9.19</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 27.1.2020, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.7.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 10.3.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO is represented by Narinder Singh, Clerk. The respondent PIO was issued a Show Cause Notice vide this Commission's Order of 10.12.2021. He has now submitted a written reply to said Show Cause Notice. The respondent PIO states that the reply has been sent along with the requested information at least three separate times vide Letter No. 1533 Dated 27.2.2020; Letter No. 2624 Dated 31.3.2021; and more recently, vide Letter No. 4444 Dated 5.7.2022. A copy of the reply as well as the appended information has also been submitted to the Commission via email as well as registered post.
- 3. In view of the above, Commission sees no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Jasbir Singh, S/o Gurbaksh Singh, Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Ludhiana.

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

### First Appellant Authority,

o/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

## Appeal Case No. 1873 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Narinder Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 98038-14780

### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 12.11.2020, 15.1.2021, 14.7.2021, 10.12.2021, and 10.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **18.11.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding a complaint submitted on 30.9.2019</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.1.2020**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **14.7.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO. represented by Narinder Kumar, Clerk, states that the requested information was sent to the appellant on three occasions vide Letter No. 1532 Dated 27.2.2020, Letter No. 2622 Dated 31.3.2021, and Letter No. 4444 Dated 5.7.2022. A copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.
- 3. In view of the above, Commission sees no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, S/o Late Sh. Deen Dayal, Chamber No.362, Lawyers Chamber Complex, Judicial Courts, Ludhiana.

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-1, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Commissioner of Police, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 459 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:
(Appellant) Absent
ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833
Head Constable Jagtar Singh (for the Respondent) 93173-91000

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 17.9.2021 and 20.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **17.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding a complaint bearing UID No. 1869414</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.10.20** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **12.1.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **20.4.2022**.
- 2. As directed by the Commission, the respondent PIO has submitted a reply along with attested copies of the requested information vide Letter No. 293/5A/PP/MT Dated 20.4.2022. The said reply/information has also been sent to this Commission.
- 3. The Commission has examined the reply and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, S/o Sh. Rai Singh, # 60-35-P-330, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, Post Office Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana – 141 014

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

#### Appeal Case No. 3799 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **5.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding a complaint Dated 24.4.2010</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.8.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **6.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has submitted a reply to the Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 2199 Dated 16.11.2021, wherein he has stated that the appellant had already been informed vide Letter No. 891-1137 Dated 15.6.2021, that the complaint cited in the RTI application is still under process and that the information is being withheld as per Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005.
- 3. The PIO's decision is upheld. The Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Bhupinder Punj,** S/o Sh. Braham Prakash, # 186, VPO Lohara, District Ludhiana.

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Superintendent Engineer, Bhakra Main Line Circle, District Patiala.

#### First Appellate Authority,

o/o Superintendent Engineer, Bhakra Main Line Circle, District Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 4327 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

Bhupinder Punj (Appellant) 99152-35055 Awaldeep Singh, SDO (for the Respondent) 95692-25001

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Banur Canal Project, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **6.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. As per the respondent PIO, represented by Awaldeep Singh, SDO, the requested information was supplied to the appellant in person on 2.7.2022. The appellant states that he still to examine the information for deficiences. The appellant is advised to notify the respondent PIO in writing regarding any deficiency with a copy to the Commission.
- 3. The respondent PIO is thereafter to submit a written reply to any deficiency listed by the appellant.
- 4. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Bhupinder Punj,** S/o Sh. Braham Prakash, # 186, VPO Lohara, District Ludhiana.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

o/o Executive Engineer,
Bhakra Main Line Circle,
Bhakra Canal Colony, Patiala
Public Information Officer,
o/o Superintendent Engineer,
Bhakra Main Line Circle,
District Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Superintendent Engineer,
Bhakra Main Line Circle,
District Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 4333 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Bhupinder Punj (Appellant) 99152-35055 Awaldeep Singh, SDO (for the Respondent) 95692-25001

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Gurdwara Sahib and Temple in Bhakra Canal Colony, Patiala, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **6.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. As per the respondent PIO, represented by Awaldeep Singh, SDO, the information requested pertains to a Gurdwara and Temple in Bhakra Canal Colony, Patiala, which were built between 1955-56. As per his contention, the requested record is more than 50 years old and not traceable.
- 3. The respondent PIO is hereby directed to submit a written, pointwise reply to the RTI application with a copy to this Commission. The aforesaid reply must reflect the contention made by the respondent at today's hearing.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.